_	1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
_	2	FOR THE NUORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
_	3	OCT 11 2022 ~
_	4	DANVIEL VERDUZCO, CASE No. 4:19-CV-01440- JSW CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
_	5	PLAINTIFF CIBE No. 4: 14-CD-DISTAICT OF CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF
-	٤	16. NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION REQUESTING RULING ON PENDING
-	7	MICKEY PRICE, EL AL., MOTIONIS ECF No., 171, 176.
10	9	DEFENDANTS
h	9	HOW. JEFFERY S. WHITE
	10	
	lı .	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITY
-	12	ARGUMENT I
	13	PLAINTIFF HAS RECEIVED TO RULING FOR RULE II (L) SANCTIONS AGAINGST COUNSEL BURANICH FOR
	14	NOW COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURTS DIRECTIVE IN ECF No. 75 TO PROVIDE DISCOVERY
	15	
	16	"COURTS MUST, WHEN POSSIBLE, INTERPRET EACH WORD OF A LAW SO AS TO GIVE IT MEANINGFUL EFFECT, SILVIERA W. LOCKER,
	17	312 F. 3.1. 1083 (9m cir. 2002)
	18	
	19	COUNSEL BURANICH PROVIDED PLAINTIFF MISTEADING SUICIDE WATCH OBSERVATION RECORDS TO CONCEAL ADVERSE
	20	INFORMATION. THEN PREPARED FOLSE TESTIMONY UNDER OATH FOR W. ROOK FALSELY ASSERTING THAT W. ROOK DID NOT
	2.i	NOTE ANYTHING OUT OF THE ORDINARY ON MAY 03, 09, 2017. THIS WAS FALSE AND REFUTED BY SUICIDE WATCH
	22	DBSERVATION RECORDS OBTAINED BY PLAINTIFF ON APRIL 05, 2022. PLAINTIFF HAS RECEIVED NO RULING ON ECF.
	23	No. 171 FOR RULE II (b) SANCTION.
	24	ARGUMENT II
	25	PLAINTIFF HAS RECEIVED NO RULING ON MOTION OPPOSING ALL MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT AND
	26	RESPECTIVE REPLIES DO NOT COMPLY WITH SUMMARY JUDGEMENT RULES
	2.7	
	28	PLAINTIFF FILED ECF No. 176 ARGUING THAT MOTION'S FOR SUMMARY JUDGEMENT ECF No. 126, 127; AND RESPECTIVE
		1
	The second secon	

	Case 4:19-cv-01440-JSW Document 187 Filed 10/11/22 Page 2 of 5
	replies ECF No. 160, 168 are all unisworm, therefore, caning be considered as evidence at summary
2	JUDGEMENT RULES , RULE 56 (c) F.R. C.P. , LOCAL RULE 7-5 . "AFFIDAUTS ARE ADMISSIBLE IN SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
3	PROCEEDINGS CONLY) IF THEY ARE MADE UNDER PENALTIES OF PERJURY, MEANING THAT A DISTRICT COURT MAY PROPERLY
4	REJECT UNSWORD DOCUMENT"S", ELDER-KEEP IS-AKSAMIT, 460 F. 979,984 (874 CIR. 2006).
5	
6	CONCLUSION
7	PLAINTIFF IS REQUESTING A RULING ON THESE MOTIONS AS A MATTER OF LAW AND TO PRESERVE THE RIGHT ON
8	APPEALLATE REVIEW FOR PREJUDICIAL ABUSE OF DISCRETION AND HARMFUL ERROR.
9	
	VERIFICATION
	I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FORESOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. IF
12	CALLED UPON TO DO SO I CAN COMPETENTLY TESTIFY TO THESE MATTER'S. THIS DECLARATION WAS EXECUTED ON THE
13	2" DAY OF OCTOBER 2022 AT STOCKTON CALIFORNIA -
14	\cap \cap
15	Vill
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2i	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26_	
27	
28	
	2

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL [CCP §§ 1013(a), 2015.5]

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN JUAQUIN
I am a citizen of the County of SAN JOAQVIN , State of California. I am a citizen
of the United States of America. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to this
action. I am a resident of the County of San Joaquin, CDCR#1-45537.
My address is: DANIEL VERDUZCO, T-45537
California Health Care Facility
P.O. BOX 32110
Stockton, CA 95213
On DCTOBER D2 , 2022, I served via United States Mail a copy of the following document(s): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION REQUESTING RULING ON PENDING MOTIONS ECF (No. 171, 176)
The above-noted legal document(s) was placed in a sealed envelope, with postage
thereon fully prepaid, addressed to the person at the address indicated below pursuant to
California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013. I placed the envelope or package in a
mailbox or other like facility addressed to:
OFFICE OF THE CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WILLIAM P. BURANICH , DAG
1301 CLAY ST. SUITE 400S 455 GOLDEN GATE AUE, SUITE 11000
DAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 SAN FRANCISCO, CAL. 94102
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the
best of my knowledge. This document was executed on <u>DCT. 02</u> , 2022 in San Joaqui
County, California.
DANNIEL VERDUZCO
Type or Print Name Signature



